## ARE YOU READY FOR MRV?



Paul Fanning, Editor

seaking recently, Lloyds Register's head of marine business Nick Brown made it clear that owners are increasingly looking to his classification society for greater guidance on regulation.

In particular, it was made clear that there is an increasing demand for guidance on the EU's monitoring, reporting and verification (MRV) regulation for  ${\rm CO_2}$  emissions that comes into force next year.

Regulation (EU) 2015/757 requires owners to have systems and practices that provide clear and precise evidence of compliance. Mandatory ship emissions monitoring will begin from 1 January 2018, but owners should have submitted monitoring plans by the end of August 2017.

The EU rules affect all ships of more than 5,000gt, regardless of flag. LR estimates that 15,000 ships will be impacted, a figure that represents 55 per cent of ship calls at EU ports.

Shipowners will have to present the first annual emissions assessment — verified by an independent auditor — by April 2019. Even ships that do not trade regularly to the EU could be affected if they are fixed for a one-off trip to the region.

The regulation could have important commercial implications. Owners without a document of compliance could be detained by port state control (PSC), while the results of the

reporting scheme will be made public and could turn into a de facto measurement of ship efficiency.

Verifavia Shipping, which provides verification services, advises owners to create monitoring plans now to prevent delays in 2017. "With thousands of ships needing to be assessed from 2017, there will be a bottleneck so owners should begin MRV plans now," said Verifavia chief executive Julien Dufour. "Ship operators can select the monitoring method and have a plan ready by August next year. They should not wait till the last minute, but should start now."

As if this weren't enough, this being the world of maritime regulation, there of course is some confusion and overlap between the EU's regulation and the IMO's own global MRV regulation that could mean there are clashes between what should be complementary regulations. One such difference in how the schemes will be reported, since IMO data would go to flag states, while in Europe, data goes direct to EU. This is clearly likely to complicate matters.

However, it's fair to say that such complications are increasingly a given in the world of maritime environmental compliance. What is important is that they do not distract from the fact that the deadline for submitting monitoring plans is only just over 12 months away now and shipowners and operators need to ask themselves how ready they are. MP

Barely a day goes by at the moment without a story arriving in my inbox that mentions the latest trialling or use of some alternatively fuelled vessel. With ethane, methanol, LPG, biofuels or battery propulsion all now in the mix, we are now at the stage where an LNG-powered vessel seems almost old hat. There can be no doubt that the fuels revolution is here to stay.

For many years, questions as to which fuel choice to opt for have been to some extent academic. However, with the sulphur cap looking ever more likely to come into force in 2020 and enginebuilders increasingly offering a range of fuelling options, those once academic questions are becoming very much real-world concerns.

Perhaps one problem lies in our current mindset? At the moment, we still talk in terms of 'alternative fuels', but perhaps that thinking will come to seem increasingly old-fashioned as the fuel spectrum becomes more diverse. What seems increasingly clear is that we are looking at a future where there is no such thing as an 'alternative fuel', there is simply a fuel with a range of advantages and drawbacks. Could it be that changing the way we think about fuels could help us adapt the new reality of myriad fuelling options?